

# Role Of Mathematics And Statistics In Economics Theory

**Antony P.V and Dr. Arihant Jain**

Department of Mathematics, Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam University, Indore (M.P.), India.

Corresponding Author Antony P.V

---

**Abstract:** The primary destinations of the investigation are the utilization of mathematical tools in monetary hypothesis and to examine the use of mathematical methods in financial exploration examination. The strategy of the examination depends on auxiliary information. The employments of Differential math, Maxima and Minima and so forth, in economics are endeavored to concentrate in this research paper. The significant finding of the examination is arithmetic assumed an essential part in monetary hypothesis and exploration. We attempt to conquer these difficulties building an information base describing the fundamental socio-segment and scholastic yield of an overview of 438 researchers partitioned into three gatherings: Economics Nobel Prize victors; researchers granted with in any event one of six renowned acknowledgments in Economics; and scholarly workforce haphazardly chose from the best twenty Economics offices around the world. Our outcomes give solid proportions of mathematization in Economics by giving measurable proof on the expanding pattern of number of conditions and econometric yields per research paper.

**Key Words:** Techniques, Mathematics, Economics, Nobel Prize.

---

## 1. Introduction

Unadulterated enlightening examination was the principal stage in the recorded advancement of financial hypothesis. Be that as it may, as hypotheses turned out to be progressively intricate, illustrative examination turned out to be more dreary and more hard to detail financial speculations. Especially, the most recent fifty years have seen a superior comprehension of financial speculations. Arithmetic furnishes the market analysts with a bunch of tools regularly more remarkable than the illustrative examination. Science assists with making an interpretation of verbal contentions into exact and brief quantitative explanations or conditions. To begin with, science can be an exact language.

As such it can add to intelligent meticulousness, the aggregate idea of information and creative scientific methodologies. Second, arithmetic has allowed the advancement of primary and dynamic models as info yield tables and straight programming models, which have contributed generously to the comprehension of how

economies work and to the utilization of estimation procedures. Third, arithmetic is a contribution to the preparation of financial analysts. As such it has expanded the productivity of the instruction cycle and filled in as a viable screening since mathematical capacities have all the earmarks of being helpful in the investigation of economics.

Economics information and human resources are sold in business sectors. For most business analysts this infers a solid assumption that both are estimated accurately and delivered proficiently any college utilizing close to nothing or to an extreme. Mathematical economics is a model of economics that utilizes math principles and methods to create economic theories and to investigate economic quandaries. Mathematics permits economists to conduct quantifiable tests and create models to predict future economic activity. Advancements in computing power, big data techniques, and other advanced mathematics applications have played a large part in making quantitative methods a standard element of economics. These elements are all backed by scientific methods advancing the study of economics.

### **Mathematics in Economics over Time**

The discussion concerning the part of science in economics has been a continuous one for quite a long while. Countless creators, the two market analysts and non-financial specialists, have tended to the subject and have given advantages and disadvantages of the escalated utilization of mathematical techniques in contemplating social issues. Notwithstanding this conversation, the occurrence of science being used in economics has without a doubt expanded, and these days high level information in arithmetic is an essential requirement for any business analyst ready to go past the undergrad level. In spite of the fact that there are numerous contentions both in kindness and against the utilization of science in economics, this research paper takes no sides at all.

The outcomes discovered here simply endeavor to give a target record of the utilization of science in economics through history and the impact this has had on a researcher scholastic professions. Mathematical economics is the utilization of mathematical techniques to speak to hypotheses and dissect issues in economics. By show, these applied strategies are past straightforward calculation, for example, differential and fundamental analytics, contrast and differential conditions, lattice variable based math, mathematical programming, and other computational techniques. Defenders of this methodology guarantee that it permits the detailing of hypothetical associations with thoroughness, over-simplification, and straightforwardness.

Arithmetic permits financial analysts to shape significant, testable recommendations about wide-going and complex subjects which could less effectively be communicated casually. Further, the language of science permits market analysts to make explicit, positive cases about dubious or quarrelsome subjects that would be outlandish without arithmetic. A lot of monetary hypothesis is as of now introduced as far as mathematical financial models, a bunch of adapted and rearranged mathematical connections affirmed to explain presumptions and suggestions.

Mathematical economics opened the door for true economic modeling. Through the language of mathematics, theoretical economic models have turned into useful tools for everyday economic policymaking. The objective of econometrics as a whole is to convert qualitative statements (such as “the relationship between two or more variables is positive”) into quantitative statements (such as “consumption expenditure increases by 95 cents for every one dollar increase in disposable income”). Mathematical economics is particularly useful in solving optimization problems where a policymaker, for example, is looking for the best tweak out of a range of tweaks to affect a specific outcome.

## 2. Literature Review

Dr. P. JAYALAKSHMI (2016) Every scholarly order has its own guidelines by which it makes a decision about the merits of what specialists guarantee to be valid. In the Physical Sciences this commonly requires trial confirmation. In History it expects connections to the first sources. In Sociology one can frequently get by with narrative proof that is with giving models. In Economics there are two purposes to apply arithmetic in financial matters, one is the numerical tools expected to make and comprehend monetary contentions, the subsequent one is, however, is to instruct you to talk science as a subsequent language, that is to make you open to discussing financial matters utilizing the shorthand of arithmetic. A financial analyst of nineteenth century can't comprehend the monetary Journals of present occasions. The significant improvement of the second quarter of twentieth century in the field of financial matters was the mathematization of financial aspects. The utilization of numerical strategies to the examination of financial issues is a methodological chance. During the most recent sixty years, this methodological choice has been connected to history of a critical piece of monetary investigation. The primary targets of the investigation are the utilization of numerical tools in monetary hypothesis and to consider the use of numerical methods in financial exploration examination. The strategy of the investigation depends on secondary information. The employments of Differential analytics, Maxima and Minima and so on, in financial matters are endeavored to concentrate in this article. The significant finding of the examination is science assumed a crucial part in financial hypothesis and exploration.

Miguel Espinosa (2012) There has been such a huge amount of discussion on the expanding utilization of formal numerical techniques in Economics. Despite the fact that there are a few examinations handling these issues, those utilization either a little measure of papers, a modest quantity of researchers or cover a brief timeframe. We attempt to defeat these difficulties developing an information base portraying the fundamental socio-segment and scholastic yield of a study of 438 researchers isolated into three gatherings: Economics Nobel Prize champs; researchers granted with in any event one of six esteemed acknowledgments in Economics; and scholarly staff haphazardly chose from the best twenty Economics offices around the world. Our outcomes give solid proportions of mathematization in Economics by giving factual proof on the expanding pattern of number of conditions and econometric yields per article. We additionally show that for every one of these factors there have been four underlying breaks and three of them have been expanding ones. Moreover, we found that the training and utilization of science has a positive relationship with the likelihood of winning a Nobel Prize in specific cases. It additionally gives the idea that being an exact analyst as estimated by the normal number of econometrics yields per paper has a negative connection with somebody's scholarly profession achievement.

Silvia PALAȘCĂ (2013) The period of development in any science is set apart by epistemological discussions. This paper centers around the debate encompassing the utilization of science in financial aspects. The part of language, tools and suitability of techniques is talked about inside the fringes set by observational and levelheaded methodologies. An odyssey through ways of thinking divulges inventive restrictions, from guileless neo-old style suspicions to educated praxeology and sudden Bio-financial matters. The principle banter floats away from the conversation on the necessity of arithmetic in financial matters and focuses more on the degree in which this theoretical science ought to penetrate on the exceptionally experimental field of sociologies, specifically, financial matters. The exposition remembers assessments for the intrusion of one more order in financial matters, specifically software engineering and the results thereof, particularly identified with potential irregular characteristics. All in all, financial matters are a blend of different domains and it requires the

craftsmanship of the exceptionally educated.

### **3. Mathematics as a Productive Input**

We assume that economics knowledge is socially useful. It is produced through the application of human capital and disseminated through the publication of journals and books. Mathematics is one of many inputs into the production of this knowledge and human capital. Therefore mathematical economics has a positive social product. More specifically, this productivity takes the following form

By and large, more conditions per page, conditions in commentaries, mathematical indices and econometric tables per research paper than researchers that won any of the honors being thought of, either before they win any honor or after. By and large, researchers that have not won any honor have fewer papers distributed. That is less creation however more mathematical and exact concentrated examination for Non-Awarded Scholars. Then again, Awarded Scholars do less observational concentrated exploration after their first honor, while both Awarded Scholars and Nobel Laureates utilize fewer conditions in the wake of being granted. All things considered, Nobel Laureates and Awarded Scholars utilize 37.261 less conditions per paper than Non-Awarded Scholars and that extent of researchers over the middle is 0.332 more prominent for Non-Awarded Scholars than for Nobel Laureates and Awarded Scholars. Overall, Non-Awarded Scholars utilized more science, however that in the event that we confine ourselves to Awarded Scholars and Nobel Laureates, the last gathering utilizes more conditions by and large.

### **4. Data Analysis**

This segment depicts probably the most significant socio-segment measurements in our example. Our particular goal is to give an illustrative examination of our information base to legitimize and clarify the consideration of certain control factors in the econometric model we will utilize. This examination is likewise uncovering since it shows a few surprising realities about the researchers remembered for our example. The data set incorporates some segment data about the researchers, for example, sex, date and nation of birth. It likewise gathers data on their scholarly foundations, in particular, where they got their B.A, M.A or Ph.D. (both college and nation), and what subject of study they picked. We partitioned the subject of study into economics, arithmetic and other. Arithmetic incorporates applied and hypothetical science, yet not material science or other related subjects.

### **5. Result and Discussion**

Table 1 shows expressive measurements for the three distinct gatherings in our example: Nobel Laureates, Awarded Scholars and Non-Awarded Scholars. It additionally incorporates data for Nobel Laureates and Awarded Scholars considering just their scholastic creation up during that time they won their first prize. By and large, more conditions per page, conditions in references, mathematical informative supplements and econometric tables per research paper than researchers that won any of the honors being thought of, either before they win any honor or after. By and large, researchers that have not won any honor have fewer papers distributed. That is less creation yet more mathematical and experimental concentrated exploration for Non-Awarded Scholars. Then again, Awarded Scholars do less observational serious exploration after their first honor, while both Awarded Scholars and Nobel Laureates utilize fewer conditions subsequent to being granted.

	Papers	Pages per Paper	Equations	Equations per Footnote	Mathematical Appedixes	Econometric Outputs
Nobel Prize Winners (N=64)						
Mean (SD)	25.88 (21.02)	15.97 (5.59)	50.12 (52.79)	3.4 (4.34)	0.42 (0.47)	0.52 (1.08)
Min/Max	1/119	9.02/37.33	0/212.38	0/26	0/1.2	0/6.21
Nobel Prize Winners Prior to First Prize						
Mean (SD)	14.62 (10.82)	15.46 (4.39)	53.58 (57.07)	3.4 (4.34)	0.13 (0.31)	0.44 (0.78)
Min/Max	0/51	7/31.66	0/247.16	0/26	0/2	0/3.85
Awarded Scholars (N=205)						
Mean (SD)	22.61 (19.17)	15.57 (5.06)	39.01 (61.62)	3.02 (7.13)	0.49 (0.77)	0.86 (1.43)
Min/Max	1/156	0.636/37.38	0/478.31	0/86.27	0/9.09	0/8
Awarded Scholars Prior to First Prize						
Mean (SD)	16.40 (15.13)	15.55 (6.46)	41.26 (66.46)	3.02 (7.13)	0.16 (0.61)	1.26 (3.06)
Min/Max	0/116	0.67/43	0/478.31	0/86.27	0/7.66	0/25.42
Non-Awarded Scholars (N=169)						
Mean (SD)	12.2 (10.41)	20.45 (6.63)	78.91 (74.08)	9.03 (29.33)	0.58 (0.64)	2.69 (3.78)
Min/Max	1/52	1.2/45	0/494	0/247	0/4	0/22.4

TABLE 1: Source: JSTOR. Calculations

Table 2 shows the mean distinction in the utilization of science for our various gatherings. Playing out a t test for the distinction in methods we locate that Non-Awarded Scholars utilize a more noteworthy number of conditions contrasted with Nobel Laureates and Awarded Scholars. Utilizing a "extent test" to see if contrasts in the extents of researchers over the middle ( $e_i$ ) is more prominent in one gathering than in another, we locate that less Awarded Scholars than Nobel Laureates utilize a bigger number of conditions than the middle, however that proportionately more Non-Awarded Scholars are over the middle than some other gathering.

TABLE 2: Mean difference in the use of equations by group

Groups	Mean difference in the use of equations	Proportional difference of $e_i$
Nobel vs. Awarded (i=1)	11.111	0.162**
Nobel vs. Non-Awarded (i=2)	-28.794***	-0.175**
Nobel vs. Awarded + Non-Awarded (i=3)	-6.921	-0.037
Awarded vs. Non-Awarded (i=4)	-39.905***	-0.351***
Nobel+Awarded vs. Non-Awarded (i=5)	-37.261***	-0.332***

\*\*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*\*  $p < 0.05$ , \*  $p < 0.1$

## 6. Conclusion

The Nobel Prize is, without help from anyone else, the most noteworthy acknowledgment conceded by established researchers to specialists whose commitments are viewed as commendable, and which have had a high effect regarding the collection and development of examination and information. The degree to which the utilization of arithmetic, by these and other esteemed researchers, is a significant factor in deciding if they were deserving of accepting the honor is hard to reply. This research paper has intended to give some factual proof to handle these and other related inquiries. We have broken down how the utilization and preparing in arithmetic is associated with the likelihood of winning a Nobel Prize in Economics and any of the other six unmistakable honors.

## References

1. Dr. P. JAYALAKSHMI, APPLICATION OF MATHEMATICS IN ECONOMICS ANALYSIS - A

STUDY, "RECENT ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS" (RADMAS– 2016)  
17th&18th November, 2016

2. Miguel Espinosa, The use of Mathematics in Economics and its Effect on a Scholar's Academic Career, London School of Economics, International Monetary Fund, University of California San Diego
3. Silvia PALAȘCĂ, Mathematics in economics. A perspective on necessity and sufficiency, Theoretical and Applied Economics Volume XX (2013), No. 9(586), pp. 127-144
4. Samuelson P A. (1952) Economic Theory and Mathematics- An appraisal, American Economic Review, Papers and Proceedings 42: 55-66. 22)
5. Von Neumann J (1947) The Mathematician, in: The works of the mind. R.B. Heywood (Ed), University of Chicago Press, Chicago. Pp 180-196.
6. Stigler G J, Stigler S M Fried land C (1995) the Journals of Economics, Journal of Political Economy 103-331-359. 25)
7. Morgan T (1989) Theory versus Empiricism in Academic Economics: Update and comparisons, Journal of Economic Perspectives. 2: 159-164.
8. BECKER, GARY S.: 'Competition among Pressure Groups for Political Influence', Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 98(1983), pp. 371-400.
9. BLAUG, M. and STURGES, R: Who's Who in Economics: A Bibliographic Dictionary of Major Economists 1700- 198!, Cambridge: MIT Press, 1983.
10. BOLAND, LAWRENCE: 'Economic Methodology: Theory and Practice', in M.AUDET and J.-L. MALOUIN (eds.): The Generation of Scientific Administrative Knowledge, Laval University Press, 1986.